

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/663,599

Applicant(s)

ZHAO, WEN

Examiner

Saeed S. Mirzadegan

Art Unit

2144

All Participants:

(1) Saeed S. Mirzadegan.

(2) _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 August 2007

Time: 4:30 p.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims, 1, 11, 21

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


SUPERVISORY EXAMINER
(Examiner/Supervisory Examiner Signature)
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Authorized to contact the Attorney of record by SPE, William Vaughn.

Authorization for examiners amendment was sought for adding a missing word "on", in line 22 of claim 1 & line 25 of claim 11 and line 26 of claim 21.

Authorization for examiners amendment was provided by Attorney of record, John J. Oskorep (Reg. No. 41,234). .